G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1901-02
~~191~~ .
(Madras)

File No. 214

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Re Recovery of a Sum of Rs 560/ from the Servants of the Sri Varahaswami at Mysore on account of their having scraped gold gilding of the Surya mandala Vah

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

File forwarded to J M Narasinga Rao Esq
Under Secty, as requested by him
by telephone.

28/11/05

Chandra Shekhar
Secy Mily Dept

Put up the d.o to
Dr
28/11

10321

Returned to Mily Secy after reference.

Gen
15/12/05

15/12

Rec'd
Lt Col Balakrishnan
20/12/05

Mysore Department

Note

Palace Controller's

D Letter no 82/769 dated 1st May 1902 reporting that some person or persons had been clandestinely scraping away the gold plating of the Suvarna mandapa Vahana in the Sri Varadharaswamie Temple at Mysore.

The Palace Controller's report is silent on the following points :—

i Whether, as roughly calculated by the Shroffs, the Suvarna mandapa Vahana can be again coated with

gold and restored to its
former brilliance, if one and might,
seer of gold is given to the
goldsmith?

ii What does the Palace Controller
propose to recoup this amount
from the temple servants, who, in a body,
are held solely responsible
for the value of the gold lost,
in accordance with the rule
prevailing in the Surgical
Department (a copy of the
Govt. order on the subject
is put up for information).

iii The Temple Parpathegar's
pay is proposed to be revised
from Rs 10-4-14 to Rs 15 a month -
How is the excess to be met from
from the savings of the Trust.
What is the average net
saving since of this Temple

since the remission of the Dasika Pattu ?

iv What is the average annual Income of the Sri Chandra mouleswara swami Temple from its Inam lands for the past 10 years, the expenditure incurred there- from including the godive amounts payable to Govt, and the balance left after meeting all the legitimate charges ?

2 As the Salary Controller has been recently asked to ~~Salaisi~~ recommend a competent man for the Karnathagam's post in the Sri Srinivasa Krishnaswami Temple, he may be asked requesting to submit his proposals

(8)
Taking into consideration the appointments in all the 3 temples together viz,

(1) In the Prasanna Krishna swami Temple, where the appointment is vacant

(2) In the Varahaswami Temple, where the Parpathyar is proposed to be transferred

& (3) In the Sri Chandra mouleeswaraswami Temple, where an appointment is proposed to be created.

Submitted

20/5/02

(3) Write the above in the form of a letter to the Palace Controller.

1.7.02

Note continued :—

4. The Palace Controller

was

B.

A

* In his Letter no 70 dated 16th November 1902

was accordingly written to in his Office Letter no 74 dated 5th July 1902. He in reply* states that it is impossible to say when the scraping away of the coating was begun and who are responsible for it; that the recoating of it with gold is estimated at Rs 2772 (for which he has sent an Estimate); that the arrangements made for filling up the Parpathyar's post in the Sri Prasanna Krishnaswami Temple have been already intimated to Government in his Letter no 23 dated 15th

Aug 1/10

* Which are as follows:—

Ramyachar, Parpathyar on the Chamundi Hill ~~Tem~~ on Rs 15 to be Parpathyar of the Prasanna Krishnaswami Temple on Rs 20

Venkatesiah Parpathyar of the Srinivasaswami Temple on Rs 10 to be Parpathyar of the Chamundi Temple on Rs 15.

Lakshmana Rao, Servant

(10)

August 1902; and
that it only remains
to replace the effete &
incompetent Parpathegars
of the Varahaswamy
Temple (Tirumala Rao).

Second Parpathegar &
the Chattram on Rs 15
to work in the Srinivasam
Swami Temple as Parpathegar

5. The Palace Controller
also says that if
Tirumala Rao be disposed
of either by pension,
gratuity or otherwise,
a competent man can be
found in the Palace for
the post.

6 A Printed copy of the
Govt. order on the subject
of the responsibility of the
temple servants, in regard
to the loss of ~~by~~ property
occurring in temples, is
put-

put up -

Submitted

15/12/02

Deputy Secretary's remarks:-

I saw the Vahanam in question during one of my visits to Mysore, and there is no doubt that gold has been scraped away in various places by means of an iron nail or some such pointed instrument. The scraping away being in Hsim streaks it is perhaps difficult to correctly estimate the loss. This has been roughly

estimated by the Palace Shroffs and gold smiths at about one seer of gold or Rs 560. But when asked what it would cost to replace the injured portions by new gold, they have ~~xxxxxxxxxx~~ estimated it at Rs 2772. The Vahanam being secured in a locked room within the four walls of the temple, there is no doubt, whatever that the scraping, which must have taken a long time, must have been done either by the temple servants themselves or with

their connivence It
seems necessary therefore
that the temple servants
should in a body
be held responsible
for the loss and be
made to reimburse
such loss in accordance
with the long standing
practice in the
Muzrai Department
and under the orders
recited in Govt.
Pro: No. 51-60 dated
mus. 7. 2.6-92
3rd November 1892.

C

8 The low estimate
of Rs 560 referred
to above may be taken
to be the loss incurred
by the temple, and
the Palace Controller
may be asked to
suggest at what

rate the money may
be recovered from
the pay of each
temple servant,
such rate being
fixed in each case
upon a consideration
of the responsibility
attaching to each
servant. Such a
collection of the
amount from the
whole body of the
servants seems
absolutely necessary,
not so much with
the view of reimbursing
the loss incurred
but with the view
of making an
example, so that
such malpractices
may not be allowed

to recur.

9. Next as regards bringing the vahanam in question into use again, it seems impossible to re-coat the injured portions with gold, as the Estimate ~~Cost~~ therefor, viz, Rs 2172, is prohibitive, and there are no means of providing such a large amount for such a purpose. It may therefore continue to be used in the state in which it is now, the gold in a greater portion of the cycle being on the whole

intact with streaks
here and there.
10. The car on which
the cycle is placed
when Utsavam takes
place is reported
to require repair.
This may be under
-taken if the cost
is not prohibitive
An estimate will
be called for.
11. The Palace Controller
reports that the
Parpattegar Tirumala
Rao is old and
inefficient and
is not able to
manage the temple
affairs. He therefore
proposes either that
he should be removed
on pension or gratuity
~~xx~~ in Consideration

of his long service
of 33 years, or if this
is not possible in
consequence of the
system of pensions
~~[illegible]~~ not being in force
in the Muzrai
Department, that
a post of Parpatteger
on Rs 10/- may be
created in the
Chandramouleswara
Swami temple at
Sidlingapur and
that Timmala Rao
be transferred there,
his place in the
Varahaswami temple
being filled by a
suitable man
selected from
among the

Palace Officials. The proposed creation of a new appointment in the Siddaling pur temple which has gone without a Parpattegar till now, seems unnecessary and undesirable. Parpattegar Trivumala Rao may, as suggested, be retired, but the difficulty is to find funds for paying his gratuity, which will amount to Rs 338-4-0.

12. Before passing final orders at retirement, however, the Palace Controller

may be asked whether Tirumala Rao may not be transferred to the less important temple of Lakshmi ramana ~~Varadaraja~~ swami in the Mysore Fort, ~~Fraser~~, the Parpattegar of that temple, viz Mallaraj Urs, being transferred to the Varahaswami temple. Mallaraj Urs is getting Rs 20/- in the Lakshmiramana swami temple and Tirumala Rao is getting 10-4-0 in the Varahaswami temple They may be allowed to draw their present pay although

(20)
working in a
different institution.

Subd to the Dean
27/5/03

Dotty 10514
28.5.03

(21)

Submitted to His Highness.

It has not been possible to trace the culprits who have scraped and taken away gold of the value of ₹560, from the plating of the Suryamandala Vahana in the Sri Varahaswamy Temple at Mysore. As per standing rule of Government in such cases, the amount may be ordered to be recovered from the temple servants according to the degree of their responsibility. There-coating of the damaged portions is said to cost ₹2,772, which is prohibitive, and the Vahanam may be used as it is.

Parpetgar Thirumal Rao being inefficient, he may be ordered to work in Lakshmiramana Swamy Temple, where there is less work than in Varahaswamy Temple, and Mallaraj Urs of the former temple to work in the latter, each on his own pay.

2.1.03

17-9/

(22)

Record of Case submitted for the orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Scraping of the gold plating of the Surya Mandala Vahana in the Sri Varahaswamy's Temple at Mysore.

Order.

Approved

~~K R W~~

5/1/05

ordinary

5.1.05

Read 1/1/05

Put up draft

10/1/05

Draft Submitted

12/1/05

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Note continued

the above suggestions in regard to

(1) the recovery of the value of the scraped gold estimated at Rs 560/- from the servants of the temple according to the degree of responsibility of each;

(2) the continuance of the use of the Suryamandala Vahana ~~xxxxxx~~ in its present state, without repair or renovation for parading purposes; and (3) the transfer of Parpattegar Jounmal Rao, from the Varahaswami to the Lakshmiramanaswami temple, Mallaraja Urs, Parpattegar of the latter temple being transferred to the former;

met with the gracious approval of H H the Maharaja, and orders were accordingly issued in Govt Lr no no 2538-9 dated 19th January '10. The question of the repairs of the wooden car on which the Suryamandala

E

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

is
was paraded, has been however left untouched.

In reply, the Officer in charge of (6 item No 11)
the Palace Establishments, Mysore, states
that after consulting Dharmadarsis
Panditaratnam Kasturi Rangacharlu
and Mr. Amble Annaiah Pandit, he has
submitted a list showing the amount of
deductions recommended to be made
from the pay of all except three of the
temple servants, one of the three aforesaid
having been entertained in the temple
subsequent to the theft, and the remaining
two, who are since dead. The Palace Officer
adds that if the deductions are made
as recommended, it will take about
2 years and 8 months to realise the
estimated amount of Rs 560/-.

~~Submitted.~~ From the list it is
observed that in the case of
all the servants, except Nos
1 5 6 and 12 15 16 and No 20.

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04.

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

deductions are proposed to be made from their salaries at the rate of one anna on each rupee and that in the case of 12 servants whose reports so such uniform rate is proposed to be adopted. The total amount that has to be made good is Rs 560 and if it is ~~arrangements~~ intimated to each servant what portion of this amount he will have to pay, he may, if he likes, pay off his portion of the amount once for all, which appears more advantageous.

From the Police Officers present Communication it is not understood whether the transfers of the Parpattegars as directed in the last para

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

of the Govt. order of the 19th January 1905 were given effect to. E

Submitted

26/5/05

When a temple took place in the Varagunaswami temple at Nellore in 1893, the temple servants were required to pay at the rate of Rs 7-12-0 on each rupee of their salary, the value of the jewels lost being Rs 4006-14-2; and those servants did not pay their portion of the amount, in a lump were placed under suspension until the money was paid and were now ordered to be dismissed (vide linked file put up).

Vide Slip G.

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Muzrai Secretary's remarks:-

It will be seen that in proposing reductions in pay the Palace Officer has fixed one anna in the Rupee in the large majority of appointments viz, the lower paid and less responsible ones, whilst in the case of the higher paid and more responsible ones the reduction has not been made at any uniform rate or any fixed principle. The proposed arrangement has further the disadvantage of Government having to wait for two years and eight months or 32 months for recouping the entire amount of the loss sustained by the temple, and during that long

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04

:-6

concerned
period, some of the subordinates may die and some may leave their posts. Further the withholding of a small rate of pay for 32 months will not be felt as a punishment.

Under these circumstances it seems preferable to adopt the arrangement followed in the case of the theft in the Melkote temple in 1893. Following that plan, the rate at which recoveries should be made from each servant in the present case to make up the sum of Rs 560/-, is Rs 2-13-7 for each rupee of pay. The adoption of this rate which will operate equally upon all, may be sanctioned, and it may be directed that if the whole amount

Vide page 26

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

falling upon each person be not fully paid up within three months, the defaulting servant will be removed from office.

The amount payable by each servant as now proposed, is noted in pencil against each name in the list received from the Palace Officer. It will be seen therefrom that almost all the amounts are very small, and will be paid up by the servants from their private resources within a very short time.

Submitted to the Dewan thro' the 2nd Councillor

3148
31-5-05

E. Thurston 31.5.05

Sd/ Hugo Fernpaint
may be adopted

Muzrai Secretariat.	File No. of 190

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04.

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

with advantage both to Govt-(Muzrai dept.) and the servants concerned, to the former because it has not to maintain-long for the recovery of the full amount-and to the temple servants because a speedy & inexpensive judgment-& those who are deserving & deserve their liability and the time for payment-may be fixed at 6 months or a year; the former of 3 months being too short.

Please draft
KL 17/8/05

21
17/8/05

Please issue the draft put up
KL 24/8/05

KL 1/6/05

8125

Have no objn
and 4/6/05

12·VIII·05

6938
2/6/05

1/8/05

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04.

Muzrai Secretariat.	File No. of 190

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04.

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Note contd

Orders in conformity with the views of the retired Dewan Sahab were issued (Vide Govt. Pro. no. 1182-3 Dy 31st August 1905), ~~and the~~ The Palace officer reports that the sum of Rs 560/ being The sum ordered to be recovered from the Servants of the temple on account of the scraping of the gold gilding on the Surya mandala Vahana was remitted to the Mysore Dist. Treasury on the 15th inst for being credited to the funds of the instn.

ide Letter no. 135 Dy the 8th April

Submtd with a draft proceeding the letter

Muzrai Secretariat.	File No. of 190

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 5.5.02
REG No 769
MUZRAI BRANCH

5-5-1902 Enclos. - One file

Palace Controller's Office,
Mysore.
1st. May, 1902.

From
V.N.Narasimma engar,Esq.,
Palace Controller,
Mysore.

To
L.Anantasami Rao,Esq.,
Deputy Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Muzrai Dept.,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will lay before Government the following:-

(1) Among the Vahanas of the Varahasvami temple at Mysore city is a Surya Mandala Cycle, made of copper, but coated with gold, in the days it is said of Dewan Purnaya. It was not in use for upwards of 6 years, owing to the disrepair of the car, and the Ratha Saptami Utsavams of the temple was performed on a plain chariot belonging to the Krishnasvami temple.

(2) When the non-user was reported to me,I caused the above Cycle-Vahanam to be paraded this year. It was then discovered that some person or persons had been clandestinely scraping away the gold plating of the cycle. The temple authorities are much to blame for the sacrilege, and the gold that was stolen in the above way is estimated by the Palace shroffs and goldsmiths to weigh about one ser, valued at ₹560/-.

(3) An enquiry was held, of which the record is attached. It will be seen therefrom that the theft was apparently going on for some-time, when it was in the temple godowns, and was

only discovered when the cycle was brought out this year for use. However much this loss is to be regretted, I very much fear it is not possible to spot the culprit. The temple Officials in a body are to blame, but whom to punish it is difficult to say. It is of a piece with the mysterious disappearance of the gilt-kalasas of so many temples in the country.

(4) In connection with this temple, I beg to bring to notice that the Parpatyagar Tirumalarow has notwithstanding repeated trials, betrayed his incapacity to manage so important an institution. He is 57 years of age, has 33 years of service and draws ₹10-4-0 a month. If there was pension in the Muzrai Dept., I would have recommended his retirement. He is too soft, incapable and unfit to command, and is over- ridden and easily circumvented by the too smart servants of the temple. But as he cannot be pensioned, it is very expedient to dispose of him elsewhere.

(5) The temple of Chandramowlesvara at Siddalingapura on the Bangalore-Mysore Road is an important one and is comparatively richly endowed. It has a village attached to it. But it has no parpatyagar, the establishment thereof being limited according to the revised scale to ₹12-8-0. A Gumasta from the Palace Controller's Office goes there now and then and superintends its affairs. I beg to recommend that a Parpatyagar on ₹10/-should be permanently provided for this temple and the aforesaid Tirumalarow transferred to it. He will I hope superintend it better than he has done the Varahasvami temple at Mysore city. Being a rustic institution, he will have far less difficulty in doing so.

(6) If this proposal meets with the approval of Govern-ment, I would transfer to the Varahasvami temple Venkatesaya, the Parpatyagar of the Trinesvarasvami temple, on ₹15/-. He is active, capable and will I trust be able to keep the servants in their proper places. He is now getting ₹10/- at the Trinesvarasvami temple, and deserves the proposed increase which may be met from the savings(napavati) of the Varahasvami temple.

(7) Ishall feel o. -ged by your obtaining the early orders of Government on the subject, as the state of this temple requires speedy remedying.

(8) I shall submit proposals to fill up the Parpatyam of the Trinesvarasvami temple on receiving the instructions of Government on the foregoing propositions.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Palace Controller.

Mudpai

To

The Palace Controller,
Mysore

No. 74 Encl file &
Mudpai 17-97
5/7/02

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No 802, dated 1st May 1902, reporting that the gold plating of the Surya Mandala Cycle belonging to the Sri Varahaswami Temple at Mysore has been scraped away clandestinely, and that the gold thus stolen is estimated to weigh one seer, valued at Rs 560/-, and ~~in that connection~~ also proposing certain transfers of Parpattegars for the better management of the ~~Palace~~ Mudpai under your management-Institutions, I am to request detailed information on the following points :—

(1) In accordance with the Rules of the Mudpai Deptt. Vide Govt. Rs. No 57-60 Mudpai 76-92, dd 3rd November 1892) the Temple servants are all

(4

a body solely responsible to make good the loss of any property stolen from the Temple. ~~I am~~ What are ~~therefore to request to be furnished with~~ your detailed proposals in regard to the recoupment of the value of the ~~stolen~~ gold ~~plating of the Vahanam~~ thus suspended and

(5) The re-coating with gold of the Surya Mandala Vahana is reported to be roughly estimated ~~at least~~ at one seer weight of gold valued at Rs ~~of~~. ~~I am to request to be furnished with your opinion as to whether the Vahana can be~~ restored to its former weight and brilliancy with the quantity of gold. Viz only one seer?

(6) As regards the transfer of Parpattegars, (a) you propose to raise the pay of ~~the Sarpattegar in~~ the Sri Varahaswami Temple from Rs 10/4 to Rs 15/-, the excess charge being met from the savings of that Institution with reference to this I am to request information on the following:-

(a) What is the average net monthly ~~savings~~

savings of this Inam for Dasa

(a) ~~What is~~ the last revision

of its Jasdik Patti?

(b) What is the average annual

income of the Sri Chandra-

mouleswara Swami Temple

from its main sources, for the

past 10 years, what is its

expenditure including Jodi to

payable to Govt., and the

balance left after meeting

all legitimate charges?

3. In this connection, I am

also to ~~request~~ invite ~~your~~ attention

to Govt. Pro. No. 2097-99, dated

27th June 1902, and to request

~~to be furnished with~~ your proposals

regarding the appointments

of Parpattigars in the 3 Inams

~~therein referred to viz:—~~

~~under your No. dated~~

3. The enclosures to your

letter under reply are herewith

returned for reference and are subm

I have &c,

By Secy. Dists. Dept.

In the Sri Prasanna

Krishnaswami Temple

where the appointment

is Vacant

Sri

In the Varadhaswami

where the

Parpattigar

(2

is proposed to be transferred

& iii In the Sri Chandra

mouleswaraswami Temple

where an appointment

is proposed to be created.

4. The enclosures to

your letter under reply

are herewith returned

for reference and re-submission

I have the

honour

2.7.02

to be Sir

Sir

Your obedient

Servant

1902.

FROM V.N.Narasimmiyengar,Esq.,

Palace Controller,

M y s o r e.

To L.Anantasami Row,Esq.,
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Muzroi Department,
Bangalore.

Recd
20/11/02

Refers
Rs

Dated 16th November 1902.

Despatched 18th

Received

General No. 70
No.

Enclosure No. 1 bill.

Sir,

With advertence to your No: 74 dated 5th July 1902, I Muz.F.17-97 have the honor to state that the Surya-Mandalam of the Varahasvami temple referred to in the correspondence, was coated with gold as far back as the days of Dewan Purnaya, and was much worn out. It was secured in the temple go-downs, with the other property appertaining thereto, and it is impossable to predicate with certainty when the scraping away of the coating was begun and who are responsible for it.

2. The loss was roughly estimated at ₹560/-, but a careful estimate has now been made for recoating it with gold, amounting to ₹2772/- which is herewith submitted.

3.

Countersigned
Palau Central

19/4/02

19/4/02

(911)

Mysore.

Read:—

~~The following~~ Letter No. 82 dy 18th May 1902 from the ~~Dewan~~ Palace Controller Mysore, reporting that gold had been scraped and removed from the ~~Mysore~~ Simha Vahana of the Sri Varahaswami temple in the Mysore Fort.

" ~~In extenso. Paras 1 to 6 both inclusive~~ ".

Read also:—

Letter No. 70 dy 16th Nov. 1902 from the same Officer furnishing further information in the matter.

———— o ————

Order thereon 2538-9 dy 19th Jany. 05.

The Secretary to Govt., Mysore Department, said the Vahana in question during one of his visits to the temple & found that gold had been scraped away in various

places, and that the scrapings being in three streaks, it was difficult to correctly estimate the loss. The loss has been roughly estimated by the Palace Shroffs & Goldsmiths at about one seer of gold or Rs 560/-, but when asked what it would cost to replace the injured portions by new gold, they have estimated it at Rs 2772. The Vahana being secured in a locked room within the four walls of the temple, there is no doubt whatever that the scrapings which must have taken a long time, was effected,

~~Done~~ either by the temple servants themselves or with their connivance. It has however not been possible to trace the culprits As per standing rule of Govt. recited in Pro: No. 51–60 dt 3rd Nov: 1892, Mun. 7. 26-92 the Govt: direct that all the temple servants who, in a body, are responsible for the loss, should make good the amount of Rs 5600/- according to the degree of their responsibility. The Officer in charge of the Palace Establishments will be requested to suggest a/c what rate the amount

may be recovered from
the pay of each temple servant,
such rate being fixed in
each case upon a consideration
of the responsibility attaching
thereto, and to submit a
report early.

2. As regards the re-covering
of the damaged portions
of the Vahana, the cost is
estimated at Rs 2772
which is prohibitive. The
Vahana will therefore not be repaired, and may
~~should~~ be used as
it is. ~~late~~

3. ~~The Palace controller~~
It is
reported that Parthasarathi
Vimmal Rao of the Sri Varahaswamy
temple is old & inefficient
& is not able to manage
the temple affairs & ~~he~~ it is
therefore proposed that he

should

Should either be removed either on pension or pretext, in consideration of his long service or transferred to a less important instn. With refce to this, the Govt. direct the transfer of Parpathegar Sivanala Rao to the Sri Lakshmiramanaswami temple where there is less work than in the Sri Varaha Swami temple, and Parpathegar Mallaraj was of the former temple to the latter. each on his own pay.

Submitted.
May this be printed?
Not necessary
17/1/05

17/1/05
Secretary
Mines Deptt

H.H. ordered
17/1/05

To the Officer in charge of the Palace Estts, Mysore
16/1/05
Comptr. & Govt.

LA

4311

168

Dufeu

22/5/05

(2)	3	4	X	X	(5)
Appointment.	Name.	Pay Rs	Amount of deduction monthly Rs	Rate in the Rule of Pay. Rs	Remarks.
1. Karpatyagar	Srinivasa Row.	10 4 .	2 4 .		✓
2. Chikka Karpatyagar	Baravardar, Srinivasangar;				
	Offg:- Narasimhashar.	6 . .	1 8 .	. 4 .	✓
3. Shanubhoga	Baravardar, Srinivasangar				
	Offg.- Perisvami Srinivasangar.	7 8 .	1 8 .		✓
4. " Do "	Baravardar. Venkata Narasimhashar				
	Offg. Raghavashar	7 8 .	1 8 .		✓
5. Curégar	Narasimhashar	2 . .	. 4 .	. 2 .	✓
anegar & Srigandha	Srinivasangar	3 4 .	. 6 6	. 2 .	✓
Valagudi Atchaka.	Baravardar, Gopalakrishna Bhatta				
	Offg. Sesha Bhatta	5 4 .	. 5 3	. 1 .	✓
8. Ammanavarabudi Atchaka.	Baravardar Devaraja Bhatta				
	Offg. Sesha Bhatta	5 4 .	. 5 3	. 1 .	✓
7 Kala Gudi Karuchaka	Baravardar Venkatashar				
	Offg Yoga Narasimhashar.	4 4 .	. 4 3	. 1 .	✓
	Total Carried Over	51 4			

Srinivasa Row 4 4 6 7

Rs as P 9m

30 2 5

17-1-6

21-5-11

21 5-1

5-11-2

		Brought forward.	51	.	8	5	3				
10.	Ammanavara Gudi Paricharaka	Basavardas Lakshamma.									
		Hg. Yoga Narasimhachar.	38	.	3	.	1.	✓		Rs - m - p	
11.	" Do " Kainkarya Paricharaka	Basavardas Gavi Srinivasiengar,								9 - 15 - 7	1
		Hg. Varadachar.	3	.	.	3	3	.	1.	✓	
12.	Sthānika	Basavardas Lakshm Narasmhachr								9 - 4 - 7	1
		Hg. Cousin yóga Narasimha									
13.	" Do "	Basavardas Raghunāthachar							✓	✓	1 - 1 -
		Hg: Yagnarai Lakshmi Narasimhach	2	.	.	4	.	2	✓	✓	5 - 11 - 2
14.	Sthānika. Kainkarya, Paricharaka	Basavardas Alamelamma									
		Hg: Srinivasa Narasmhachar	3	4	.	6	6	.	✓	✓	
15.	Adhyāpaka.	Srīrangāchar								9 - 4 - 7	1
16.	Sthānika Rigvéda	Basavardas Srinivasa Narasimhach	1	8	.	1	6	.	1.		
		Hemmige Srinivāsaniengar.								4 - 4 - 5	
17.	pakka & Srī Pāda.	Basavardas Krishnami Srinivasiengar	3	.	.	.	.	.	✓	✓	8 - 8 - 7 1
18.	Adhyāpaka.	Hg. Krishnamachar.	3	8	.	3	6	.	1.	✓	
		Basavardas Damódara Rao								9 - 15 - 7	1
		Hemmige Srinivāsaniengar.	1	8	.	1	6	.	1.	✓	
19.	Adhyāpaka & Srī Pāda.	Srinivāsaniengar.	3	4	.	3	3	.	1.	✓	4 - 4 - 5
	Dvādasa Stótram.	Basavardas Kuppannachar.								9 - 4 - 7	1

21.	Yajurvéda	Baravardas Sirganamma,											
		Ofg. Sri Ranga Chār	1	4	.	.	1	3	.	1	.	✓	3 - 9 - 4
22.	Sāma Véda - Rangóli	Baravardar Srinivasa Dēsikā Chevr,											
		ofg: Atri Tirunaraniengar	2	8	.	.	2	6	.	1	.	✓	7 - 2 - 4
23.	Atharvana Véda.	Baravardar Vaakódu Srinivasiengar,											
		ofg: Naraniengar	1	4	.	.	1	3	.	1	.	✓	3 - 7 - 4
24.	Nārāyana Upanishat.	Baravardar Srinivāsa Krishnajois,											
		ofg. Venkata Narasimhā Chār	1	4	.	.	1	3	.	1	.	✓	3 - 4 -
25.	Varāha Purāna.	Baravardar Venkannā Chār,											
		ofg: Sublea Chār.	1	4	.	.	1	3	.	1	.	✓	3 - - -
.	Gadyatrāya	Baravardar Gōnātham Narasimhā Chār											
		ofg Tirunaraniengar.	1	4	.	.	1	3	.	1	.	✓	3 - 1 -
	Bhāgavata	Rama Chār & Sublea Chār.	1	8	.	.	1	6	.	1	.	✓	4 - 2 -
	Itihāsa. Sri Pāda	Baravardar Srinivasiengar,											
		ofg Venkata Krishniengar.	3	4	.	.	3	3	.	1	.	✓	4 - - -
9.	Panchānga	Baravardar Singalā Chār,											
		ofg: Venkata Krishniengar.	1	8	.	.	1	6	.	1	.	✓	
0	Sri guna Ratna Kósa.	Baravardar Gōnatham Srinivasa Jois											
		ofg: Tirunāraniengar	2	.	.	.	2	.	.	1	.	✓	
		Carried over	95	8	.	11	11	6	.				

| | | |

		Ofg: Rangiengar.	24			23		1	
44.	Adigé, Parichaaka Sri Seda	Gunja Narasimhāshar.	4			4		1	✓
45.	Adigémané Parichāraka.	Rangiengar.	24			23		1	✓
46.	Vinayakana Puja.	Baravardar, Garalapuri Sastri							
		Ofg: Narasimhiengar.	1			13		1	✓
47.	Purāna.	Upākarana Shamachar.	10						†
48.	Belli Dīrattigé.	Nanjā	18			16		1	✓
49.	" Do "	Baravardar Kencha,							
		Ofg: his father Naraza.	18			16		1	✓
50	Jirumālé.	Baravardar Venkata Narasimha,							
		Ofg: Rāmānujayya.	2			2		1	✓
51.	Golla.	Javarai	2			2		1	✓
52.	" Do "	Baravardar Chikka Javarai							
		Ofg: Pāpa.	2			2		1	✓
53.	" Do "	Naraza.	2			2		1	✓
54.	" Do "	Baravardar Chamardi,							
		Ofg: Narasimha.	2			2		1	✓
55.	" Do "	Baravardar Chikka Dāsi.							
		Ofg: Laraza Rama.	2			2		1	✓
56.	" Do "	Narasimha Song Seingri	2			2		1	✓
		Carried over	165		15				

† No deduction proposed vide Dkt. 210 – 168 dated 20.5.05

11 – 6 – 4

6 – 6 – 7

3 = 9 – 4

4 – 4 – 5

4 – 4 – 5

		Brought forward.	165	-	-	15						
57.	Mora.	Thāma (deceased)	2	8	.	.		.	1	.	✓	7 - 2 - 11 1
58.	" Do "	Baravardar Subba,										
~~59.~~		Mg: Linga.	2	8	.	.		.	1	.	✓	7 - 2 - 11 1
59.	Sruti	Thāma.	3	.	.	.		.	1	.	✓	8 - 3 - 7 1
60.	Sōlu	Linga.	1	8	.	.	1	.	1	.	✓	4 - 4 - 5
61.	Davaná.	Dāsi.	1	8	.	.	16	.	1	.	✓	4 - 4 - 5
62.	Jāgaté.	Venkata	1	4	.	.	1	.	1	.	✓	3 - 3 - 4
63	Jipari Kaitalā	Ranga.	1	4	.	.	13	.	1	.	✓	3 - 3 - 4
64.	Sankha, Chinnagalé.	Hanamanta Dāsa.	3	-	-	.		.	1	.	✓	8 - 8 - 7 1
65.	Madlé.	Ranga.	2	.	.	.		.	1	.	✓	5 - 11 - 2
66.	Sangitā, Lāmba.	Thāma.	4	8	.	.		.	1	.	✓	2 - 10 - 6 1
67.	Jāfé.	Venkata Lakshmi alias Nāgi.	1	12	.	.	1	.	1	.	✓	4 - 10 - 9
68.	" Do "	Rangi (deceased).	1	12	.	.	1	.	1	.	Vacancy.	+ no deduction for Nil
69.	Gumbārbi.	Harigi (deceased)	1	4	.	.	13	.	1	.	✓ " Do "	T — do — do. Nil
70.	Mashāl.	Bhairā.	1	8	.	.	1	.	1	.	✓	4 - 4 - 5
71.	" Do "	Mari.	1	8	.	.	16	.	1	.	✓	4 - 4 - 5
72.	Hāmāli.	Pāpa.	3	.	.	.	3	.	1		✓	8 - 8 - 7 1
73.	Hlagi.	Baravardas Bhimāpatti,										
		Para										

74.	Changûli.	Baravardar Malla.					
		Offg. Chāmi.	1	4	13	1	✓
75.	" Do "	Baravardar Hanumi.					
		Offg. Lingi.	1	4	13		✓
76.	" Do "	Lingi.	1	4	1	1	✓
77.	Bhandikara.	Baravardar Bhari, Offg. Mari.	1	8	16		✓
78.	Angalika.	Javarai.	1	12	1	1	✓
79.	Agasa (Washerman)	Venkata.		1	9	1	✓
		Total.	20	98	18	36	
		Deduct on account of Nos 6 & 79 above				30	
		Balance Rs			18	06	

= Amount of monthly deduction

PALACE OFFICE
MYSORE 14th May 1905.

OFFICER IN CHARGE OF
THE PALACE ESTTS.

Mysore

Read;

Govt Prc no 2538-98

19th Janry 1905 requesting the Palace Officer to submit proposals in the matter of the recompment of the loss sustained by the Sri Varahaswamy temple at Mysore on account of the scraping of the gold ~~plating~~ gilding ~~of~~ on the Surya Mandala Vahana thereof.

And,

Letter no 168/H311 of 16th May 1905 from the officer in charge of the Palace Estts, Mysore, reporting on the above.

Order thereon no

Under cover of the letter read above, the Palace officer submits a list showing the amount of deductions ~~recommended~~ to be made from the pay of ~~all except three~~ the Gudda Servants

No 1182-3 Encl/List
dt 31.8.05

Vide 2
5.9.05

view

loss in the case

read above.

on the ground that one of them of from such deduction

was entertained in the
temple subsequent to the theft
and the remaining two ~~are~~ have since
~~dead~~ died, and the Palace Officer reports that if
deductions are made as re-
commended by him it would take about
2 years and 8 months to realise
the estimated amount of ~~Rs~~ $60/-

The Govt observe that in
proposing the deductions, the Palace
Offr. has fixed one anna in
the Rupee in the large
majority of appts, viz the lower
paid and less responsible
ones, while in the case of those which are
better higher paid and more responsible,
~~Rs~~, the reductions need not
have been made at any uniform
rate. ~~or any fixed principle.~~
The proposed arrangement
has ~~further~~ the disadvantage
of Govt having to wait for
2 years and 8 months for re-
covering the entire amount
during which period, casualties may occur
~~alterations may take place~~

among the Subordinates concerned. Further the withholding of a small rate of pay for 32 months will not be felt as a punishment by the ~~servants of the temple~~

Under the foregoing circs., the Court are pleased to direct that the amount payable by each servant be fixed at a uniform rate of ~~Rs~~ 2-13-4, for each Rs. of pay. ~~as noted against each name in the list submitted by him. Should be recovered within a period of 6 months, exceptin being, of course, made of 3 individuals referred to by him.~~

The amount ~~must~~ will have each servant ~~has~~ to pay according to this arrangement ~~by the magistrate~~ is noted in the ~~said~~ list by the Palace list annexed, and the Palaces Officer ~~Officer, could have assistance~~ is requested to recover the full amount from each of the respective ~~said~~ individuals concerned (within a period of 6 months from the date of this order. The recoveries effected shd be credited to the funds of the &c.)

The temple servants should be distinctly given to understand that, if the whole amount falling upon each person be not fully paid up within the specified time the defaulting servant will be ~~forthwith~~ removed from office.

The action taken should

be intimated for the inform.
of Govt.

Dewan's order 24/8/05
Secretary

23/8/05

To, The offr. in charge of the
Palace Edits Mysore, returning
the list.

" Comptroller to the Govt
of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore

Submitted,
I think this may be prin
Not necessary
24/8/05

Aud

1176
9.9.05.
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

Mysore DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVT.

Bangalore, 7th September 1905.

From

Rai N.G. Ranganadhaiah Avl.
Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To

L. Anantasami Rao Esq. B.A.,
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Mysore Dept.

SIR,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No. 1182-3 Mys: of 31st August 1905 directing the recovery of Rs. 560/- being the loss sustained in the scraping of the Gold gilding on the Surya-Mandala-vahana of Sri varahaswamy temple at Melkote within six months from the date of Govt. Order from the Servants attached to the temple, I have the honour to request that you will be so good as to furnish this office with a copy of the list referred to in para 2 of the Govt. Proceedings showing the amount that each servant has to pay, to enable this office to issue necessary instructions to the Treasury Officer Mysore to make necessary deduction from the monthly Pay bill of the institution.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Comptroller.

Rec'd 13/5
Referred
9/9/05

References put up

B&R
15-9-05.

Mysore DEPARTMENT

1905

From

Rai B.G. Basu Bahadur
Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To

L. Anandarama Rao Esq.
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore

& Mysore Dept.

Dated 9.
Despatched 9 } September
Received

No. 1380

Mys: No. 57

SUBJECT MATTER

Adverting to Govt. Pro: No. of 31st Augt 05, regarding that will be so good as to let this Office have with a copy of it referred to in para 2 of Govt Pro: to enable this Office to dispose necessary matters to the Govt Engineer.

Muz. 1 A.]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To The Comptroller

Dated 6th ~~Sept~~ Oct 1905

Despatched

Received

General No. 1756

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. /

Has the honor to forward herewith a copy of the list required by him in his Letter no 1380 dy Muz 57 9th sept 1905 re ~~the recovery of the balance due~~ the recoupment to the Sri Varahaswamy temple at Mysore on account of the scraping of the gold gilding on the Garuda Vahana ~~by order~~ By order and to observe Secretary

the recovery of Rs 560 from the funds of the

M.G.C.P.B.—1,000—19-7 05.

that the Govt. order on the subject
does not require the
deduction of certain amounts
~~from~~ monthly from the
pay of the servants, but
directs the Palace Office
to recover the amount
from each individual
in a lump within 6 months —

By order

27/9/05

Secretary

Finance Dept

27/9/05

Ar

1/5/06

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
4670
1-5-06
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

The Palace Office,
Mysore.
Dated 19th April 1906.

No:135

From,

B.Ramakrishna Row,Esq.,
Officer in charge of the Palace Estts.,
Mysore.

To,

L.Anantasami Rao,Esq.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Muzroi Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to the Government Proceedings No:1192-3 dated 31st August 1905, I have the honor to state that the sum of Rs560/- being the sum ordered to be recovered from the servants of the Varahasvami Temple on account of damage done to the Suryamandala was remitted to the Mysore District Treasury on 15th Instant for credit to the funds of the said temple.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
B.Ramakrishnarao
Officer in charge of the
Palace Establishments.

T.L.

Muzrai.

Read.

Govt. Pro. No. 1182-3 of the 31st August 1905 directing the recovery of a sum of Rs. 560/- from the servants of the Sri Varaha Swami temple at ~~Mysore~~ on account of ~~the Scrapings of~~ their having scraped off the gold gilding ~~on~~ of the Suryamandala Vahana ~~thereof~~, belonging to the temple ~~thereof~~;

Letter No. 135/4670 of the 19/20 April (but ~~evidently~~ received in this office on the 1st May 1906) from the officer in charge of the Palace Establishments

Mysore

Mysore, reporting that the Sum of Rs. 560/- referred to in the Govt. Order cited above was remitted to the Mysore District Treasury on the 15th instt. for being credited to the funds of the Institution.

Order thereon No. 5384 of 19.5.06

Recorded.

15/5/1906

Secretary.

14/5/06

To the

The Officer in charge of the Palace Estts., Mysore